

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	SE	rial Mumber	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
		07/686,210	04/16/9	1 BERNAUER	K	8468
					EXAMINER	
					REAMER, J	
	GEORGE M. GOULD 340 KINGSLAND ST. NUTLEY, NJ 07110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					120	16 7
					DATE MAILED:	07/20/92
		communication from the SIONER OF PATENTS		our application.		
A sho	ortene		or response to this ac		n(s), d	ays from the date of this letter.
rallu	re to i	respond within the po	eriod for response wi	ill cause the application to become abandone	ed. 35 U.S.C. 13	<i>i</i> 3
Part	ı	THE FOLLOWING	ATTACHMENT(S) AF	RE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
1. 3. 5.		Notice of Art Cited	es Cited by Examiner by Applicant, PTO-14 to Effect Drawing C	449. 4. Notice of it	nformal Patent App	O-948. Dication, Form PTO-152.
Part	11	SUMMARY OF AC	TION			
1.		Claims	1To 39			are pending in the application
		Of the above	, claims	3 Tulo +16 To 30	are	withdrawn from consideration
2.		Claims				have been cancelled.
3.	П	Claims				are allowed
4.	_ X			1. 15 + 31 To 39		
5.		Claims				are objected to.
6.		Claims		are	e subject to restric	tion or election requirement.
7.		This application has	been filed with infor	mal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are	acceptable for exa	amination purposes.
8.		Formal drawings are	e required in respons	se to this Office action.		
9.				ve been received on (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawin		.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
10.				neet(s) of drawings, filed on niner (see explanation).	has (have) been	☐ approved by the
11.		The proposed draw	ing correction, filed o	on, has been 🔲 appr	oved. disappr	oved (see explanation).

12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has \Box been received \Box not been received

13. \Box Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in

been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _

accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Serial No. 07/686,216

Art Unit 1206

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1 to 7, 11 to 15 and 31 to 39 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Kitabara et al, British patent (2) or Miyamoto et al (3), for the reasons of record. Applicants admission that one can arrive at the instant compounds from the teaching of Kitabara et al is sufficient to maintain the rejection. The fact that one needs to assign specific functional groups to the variables is not sufficient evidence to render the teaching insufficient. The instant claims are broader than the genus of Kitabara et al. The reference need not teach the instant use since the claims to which the reference is applied are compound and composition claims. The composition claims are rejectable because they recite nothing more than the compound plus a carrier.

Serial No. 07/686,216

Art Unit 1206

The fact that the British patent does not teach a use for the compounds is not sufficient to negate the teaching since a patent is presumed to teach useful compounds. One skilled in the art would known how to use the compounds prepared by the British patent. The teaching of Miyamoto et al remains relevant absent evidence that the instant compounds posses unexpected properties over the compounds of Miyamoto et al.

Claims 1 to 7, 11 to 15 and 31 to 39 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Watsuka et al (4), for the reasons of record. The compounds of the reference may be taught to be useful as intermediates but the rejection is made against the compound claims. This utility is sufficient since the use claims are not being rejected. One needs a side-by-side comparison showing unexpected properties over the compounds of Watsuka et al to overcome this rejection.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Serial No. 07/686,216

Art Unit 1206

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Reamer at telephone number (703) 308-1235.

JAMES H. REAMER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 120 - ART UNIT 126

James Hleamen

REAMER: tce July 17, 1992